







## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,132	01/18/2001	Thaddeus I. Kingsford	58091-A CCD	7826
75	590 03/20/2003			
Christopher C. Dunham c/o Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Applicant(s)   Opfice Action Summary			$\mathcal{M}$				
Examiner David C. Comstock 3732  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Examinor of term may be avoilable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a raply be tirely filled □ If the period for ready is avoilable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a raply be tirely filled □ If the period for ready is avoilable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a raply be tirely filled □ If the period for ready is avoilable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a raply be tirely filled □ If the period for ready is avoilable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a raply be tirely filled □ If the period for ready is avoilable to be the tirely did only as a reply when the statistic primer and is the communication. Even \$1 (the period of the communication. □ If the period we have the ordinary period able of this communication, over \$1 (the period of the communication. □ Any reply ready by the Office litted into the mere than the mailing date of this communication. □ Any reply ready by the Office litted into the ready and add the office communication. □ This action is FINAL. □ 2b) □ This action is non-final. □ This action is FINAL. □ 2b) □ This action is non-final. □ This action is FINAL. □ 2b) □ This action is non-final. □ Claim (s) □ 1.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are pending in the application. □ (a) □ Claim (s) □ 1.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are pending in the application. □ (claim (s) □ 1.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are pending in the application. □ (claim (s) □ 1.35.9.1018-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected. □ (claim (s) □ 1.35.9.1018-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected. □ (claim (s) □ 1.35.9.1018-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected. □ (claim (s) □ 1.35.9.1018-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected. □ (claim (s) □ 1.35.9.1018-21.29 and 30		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
David C. Comstock  3732  -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are reply within the statistory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are reply within the statistory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are reply within the statistory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty and the replace of the communication of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty and the replace of this communication, even if the period of this communication.  This action is FINAL.  2b) M This action is final.  2b) M This action is FINAL.  2b) M This action is non-final.  3c) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4c) Claim(s) 1-10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5c) Claim(s) 1-35.9,10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5c) Claim(s) 1-35.9,10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5c) Claim(s) 1-35.9,10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are rejected.  7c) Claim(s) 1-35.9,10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are rejected.  7c) Claim(s) 1-35.9,10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are rejected.  7d) The proposed drawing correction filed on 18-35 and 18-35 an		09/765,132	KINGSFORD ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the image by a sevilate under the growines of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled by the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutiony minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered dimaly.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutiony minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered dimaly.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutiony minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered dimaly.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutiony minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered dimaly.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statution or thirty (40) days will be considered dimaly.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (40) days, a reply be timaly filled in a replication of the specified above is a replication of the specified above is a replication of the period of the specified above is a replication of the period of the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 lis/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Eathersons of time may be ampliable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Eathersons of time may be ampliable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - If NO period for reply to septided above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will exem SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply to specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will exem SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply to specified above, the maximum statutory parted will apply and will exem SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  - Any reply received by the Office later than treas mention after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  - Status  - Status  - Any Exploration for SIN MAL.  - SINCE this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - Application of Claims  - Application of Claims  - Application of Claims - Island S. 3, 5, 10, 18-21, 29 and 30 is/are rejected.  - Claim(s)		David C. Comstock	3732				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tomorphy be sufficial under the provisions of 3°CPR 1.73(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  this period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply which the statutory period will apply and well expire (30) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of reply sufficient is extremely period to reply used to reply used the replacement of the communication of the statutory period will apply and well expire (30) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Final period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of the replacement of the communication of the communication.  Final period for the statutory of the statutory period will be statutory and the statutory minimum of the communication.  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 January 2003  Status  1)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-3.5.9.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3.5.9.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3.5.9.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-3.5.9.10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are rejected.  10)  The drawing(s) filled on 18 January 2001 is/are: a) Accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  4pplication Papers  Application from the foreign from the foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f).  a)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	···						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estessions of time may be variable under the provision of 3 CFR 113(s). In no event, however, may a reply be fined after 5X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the text of the communication of the	• •	EOD DEDI VIO SET TO EVDIDE 2	MONTH(S) EDOM				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are evithdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b):	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of t statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ply will, by statute, cause the application to become is after the mailing date of this communication, ever	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-10.18-21.29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>21 January 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-10.18-21,29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s)	closed in accordance with the pra						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 6-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s)	· <u> </u>	are pending in the application.					
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are possible to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· -	n.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5,9,10,18-21,29 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	<u></u>	_					
7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ◯ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	30 is/are rejected.					
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  51 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u> </u>					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	riction and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January</u>	2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  ot	pjected to by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction fi	led on is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	If approved, corrected drawings are	required in reply to this Office action.					
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	:	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been received in	Application No				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the Inte	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_a)	anguage provisional application has	been received.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-	s. comocno priority under do d.d.	33 120 dilaror 12 1.				
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) 5) Notice					

Art Unit: 3732

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 4 and 6-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species and subspecies, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 9, 10, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holloway (4,777,969).

Holloway discloses a compact comprising a manipulable applicator unit 42 including an applicator 46 and a material container unit 12, a receptacle 22 for a coherent body of material, and a cover 10. A well 24 opens through the proximal end of the unit. The applicator is removably inserted into the well. The receptacle has an open side, disposed distally of the well and opening laterally of the unit. The cover is manually movable relative to the receptacle. The container unit includes a housing member with a lateral opening to the receptacle. The housing member is hollow and the receptacle is disposed therewithin. The housing member is manually rotatable via a grasping portion 20. (See Fig. 1 and col. 2, lines 3-12.)

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1-3, 19, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aversa (3,397,707).

Aversa discloses a compact comprising a manipulable applicator unit 14 including a brush applicator 23 and a material container unit 8, a receptacle 10 for a lip colorant, and a cover 7. A well 13 opens through the proximal end of the unit. The applicator is removably inserted into the well. The receptacle has an open side, disposed distally of the well and opening laterally of the unit. The cover is manually movable relative to the receptacle. The applicator has a manually graspable handle 15 that projects outwardly of the well. The container unit comprises a housing member for the receptacle. A pan 11 is removably seated in the receptacle. A coherent body of lip colorant is disposed in the pan. (See Figs. 3 and 8; col. 1, lines 20-21; and col. 2, lines 12-14.)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aversa (3,397,707).

Aversa discloses the claimed invention except for the cover being formed of transparent material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the cover of transparent material, since it

Art Unit: 3732

has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aversa (3,397,707) in view of Giese et al. (5,655,553).

Aversa discloses the claimed invention except for the aperture in the receptacle. Giese et al. disclose a cosmetics compact 10 having apertures 52 in the receptacle 50 to allow pressure to be applied to the bottom of the pan through the holes in the receptacle to allow the pans to be removed without complication and to improve the convenience of the device (see Fig. 2; col. 1, lines 9-13 and 45-49; and col. 2, lines 1-5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the compact of Aversa with an aperture in the receptacle, in view of Giese et al., in order to allow pressure to be applied to the bottom of the pan through the hole in the receptacle to allow the pan to be removed without complication and to improve the convenience of the device.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aversa (3,397,707) in view of Ebbetts, III et al. (5,437,294).

Aversa discloses the claimed invention except for the pan being divided into a plurality of laterally opening compartments. Ebbetts, III et al. disclose a compact 10 having a pan divided into a plurality of laterally opening compartments 18 to allow cosmetics of different colors to be housed therein and improve the versatility of the device (see Fig. 3 and col. 4, lines 20-27). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3732

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the compact of

Aversa with a pan divided into a plurality of laterally opening compartments, in view of

Ebbetts, III et al., in order to allow cosmetics of different colors to be housed therein and
improve the versatility of the device.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Comstock whose telephone number is (703) 308-8514.

D.C. Comstock March 18, 2003

> EDUARDO C. ROBERTA PRIMARY EXAMINER